

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/779,467	02/09/2001	Atsushi Ohido	108582	9470	
25944	7590 04/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M		
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
	,		1765		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	711			
á	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	09/779,467	OHIDA ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Robert M Kunemund	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	i			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r i. I reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON adute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Example.	drawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/01, 02/09/01.	) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/779,467

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. It is unclear in the claims, as to how does the lattice constant not change or vary and then increase. Clarification and/or correction is necessary.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/779,467

Art Unit: 1765

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirai et al (6,411,641) in view of Jp 06-092796.

The Shirai et al reference teaches a film and a method of making the film. A melt of a bismuth substituted magnetic garnet is first made. A substrate is caused to contact the melt and a film is grown by the liquid phase epitaxy method. The film is a bismuth substituted magnetic garnet single crystal and can be used as a Faraday Rotator, note entire reference and specifically col. 4. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the lattice change in the growth direction. However, the Jp 06-092796 reference teaches that when growing films by epitaxial methods to increase the lattice constant, note translated abs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Shiari et al reference by the teachings of the Jp 06-092796 reference to change the lattice constant and the amount of change in order to prevent cracks and damages in the growing film, which would prevent the use of the film in any devices.

## Examiner's Remarks

The remaining references are merely cited of interest as showing the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RMK** 

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER A.U. 117